

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)  
United States Attorney

2 MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)  
Chief, Criminal Division

4 PETER B. AXELROD (CABN 190843)  
Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055  
6 San Francisco, California 94102  
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7200  
Fax: (415) 436-7234  
E-Mail: peter.axelrod@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff

10  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 11-0811 EMC (JCS)  
15 Plaintiff, )  
16 v. )  
17 MEDCHEM CORPORATION, and )  
HASAN IBRAHIM, )  
18 Defendants. )  
19 )  
20 )  
OPPOSITION TO APPLICATION TO  
MODIFY CONDITIONS OF RELEASE  
Date: January 27, 2012  
Time: 10:30 a.m.  
Dept.: Hon. Joseph C. Spero

21 The United States opposes defendant Hasan Ibrahim's Application to Modify  
22 Conditions of Release to allow him to travel to Saudi Arabia in February 2012. Clerk's  
23 Record (CR) 16.

24 The United States opposes the request because Ibrahim – who possesses both U.S.  
25 and Jordanian passports – is a flight risk. Ibrahim is charged with a number of serious  
26 crimes that have significant custodial consequences upon conviction. Further, his  
27 business ties to Saudi Arabia, his intended travel destination, are deep and long-standing.  
28 If the Court permits Ibrahim to travel overseas, the United States cannot guarantee his

1 return to the United States to face the current charges – the United States does not have  
2 effective extradition treaties with either Saudi Arabia or Jordan. Second, based on the  
3 investigation, it appears that Ibrahim could continue to operate his U.S.-based business,  
4 co-defendant MedChem Corporation (MedChem), from abroad. Finally, the reasons that  
5 Ibrahim proffered for his trip – to maintain the convenience afforded by his Saudi  
6 residence card and to conduct a review of the books of his business in Saudi Arabia,  
7 Constant Trading Activity (CTA) – are wholly inadequate to justify the substantial risk  
8 posed by any overseas travel. This is particularly true here where the underlying business  
9 relationship between MedChem and CTA is at the root of the current charges. As set  
10 forth more fully below, the United States urges the Court to deny Ibrahim's motion.

11 BACKGROUND

12 On November 1, 2011, a grand jury returned a fourteen count indictment against  
13 Ibrahim and his U.S.- based business, MedChem Corporation, for the attempted shipment  
14 of over two dozen undeclared hazardous materials to CTA on a commercial aircraft. CR  
15 1. Both Ibrahim and MedChem were charged with two counts of the attempted placement  
16 of a destructive substance on an aircraft (18 U.S.C. § 32), ten counts of hazardous  
17 materials violations (49 U.S.C. §5124), a count of failing to file export information (13  
18 U.S.C. § 305), and two counts of attempted smuggling of goods (18 U.S.C. § 554).

19 On November 2, 2011, Ibrahim made his initial appearance and was released on  
20 conditions, including that he surrender all passports and foreign travel documents to the  
21 Clerk of the Court. *See Order Setting Conditions of Release*, CR 4. The Court also  
22 restricted his travel to the Northern District of California. That same day, Ibrahim  
23 surrendered both his U.S. and Jordanian passports. Based on his application, it appears  
24 Ibrahim has residency status in Saudi Arabia, but it unclear if that means he has a  
25 particular residency/travel document and, if so, where it is. The Court also ordered  
26 Ibrahim secure a \$100,000 bond with real property, which he has done.

27 As set forth in the Indictment, Ibrahim was the president of MedChem, a company  
28 located in South San Francisco that shipped chemicals, including hazardous materials, to

1 CTA, a business in Saudi Arabia that Ibrahim co-founded. Ibrahim operated MedChem  
2 since the mid-90s and, as he acknowledged in his application, all of MedChem's business  
3 is with CTA. Application at 4, CR 16. The charges relate to a shipment by air carrier of  
4 64 boxes of goods from MedChem to CTA in Saudi Arabia that Customs and Border  
5 Protection (CBP) intercepted – the shipment contained dozens of undeclared hazardous  
6 materials, including materials that were forbidden from transportation on any aircraft  
7 (passenger or commercial) because of they were toxic inhalation hazards, corrosive,  
8 flammable and combustible. These materials, which had been removed from their  
9 original packaging and placed in different boxes, were neither labeled nor declared and  
10 thus posed a risk to the aircraft, crew, cargo handlers, and passengers (in the likely event  
11 of transport on a passenger flight).

12 In the course of the investigation, Ibrahim spoke to law enforcement and admitted  
13 that he created CTA in the mid-1990s with a Saudi businessman and that he did not  
14 declare the hazardous materials that he shipped to Saudi Arabia because declaring them  
15 made it harder to clear those shipments through customs. Ibrahim acknowledged that a  
16 search of documents seized from his business would reveal a pattern of improperly  
17 packaged and declared chemicals, which was confirmed by additional investigation.  
18 Ibrahim also told law enforcement that he was training his son to work at MedChem, and  
19 the investigation revealed that after the shipment was intercepted, the son took an active  
20 role in MedChem's operations.

21 **THE COURT SHOULD DENY THE APPLICATION**

22 First, Ibrahim is a flight risk. Both he and his U.S. based company, MedChem, are  
23 charged with a number of felony offenses with significant custodial exposure. While he  
24 was aware of the investigation prior to the indictment, he is in a materially different  
25 position now – the case is charged, the government has provided discovery, and the  
26 evidence supporting its case is available to him. Those developments put Ibrahim in a  
27 tougher spot which provide a greater incentive to flee. Further, there is no way to ensure  
28 Ibrahim's return to the United States should he go to Saudi Arabia or Jordan and decide to

1 stay. This is pronounced concern here where his U.S. business is centered around a  
 2 company in Saudi Arabia that he founded, CTA.

3 Ibrahim has deep business ties to Saudi Arabia. He founded CTA in the mid-90s  
 4 and has been working with them ever since. As he stated in his application, “without his  
 5 ability to ship these materials to [CTA] . . . he essentially has no business at all.”

6 Application at 4. Not surprisingly, over the last 10-15 years, he has routinely traveled to  
 7 Saudi Arabia. Thus, he has a network of contacts there, should he choose to stay.  
 8 Further, because one of his U.S. based sons was getting more involved in MedChem’s  
 9 operations, Ibrahim could potentially stay in Saudi Arabia while his son operated  
 10 MedChem here in this district.

11 Finally, Ibrahim offers two reasons for his travel, neither of which justify the  
 12 requested modification.

13 First, as understood by the undersigned, Ibrahim seeks to maintain his Saudi  
 14 resident status, which will expire if he does not visit the Kingdom every six months. If he  
 15 is not allowed to make this visit, then he will be subjected to Saudi visa regulations, like  
 16 everyone else. While Ibrahim’s desire to minimize an administrative burden is  
 17 understandable, it is not a sufficient reason to warrant this trip under these circumstances.

18 Second, Ibrahim claims the trip is required to conduct a financial review of CTA’s  
 19 books and to ensure that his lawful business is not completely destroyed. Application at  
 20 4-5. Yet, Ibrahim fails to explain why he has to travel to Saudi Arabia to review the  
 21 books. Given the availability of e-mail, video conferences, and overnight deliveries,  
 22 Ibrahim does not explain why he cannot inspect the books through some other means,  
 23 particularly where it involves a business he created years ago and remains actively  
 24 involved in. While he might prefer to be in Saudi Arabia for meetings, Ibrahim does not  
 25 articulate why his physical presence is required and why alternative measures cannot  
 26 achieve his objectives.

27 \\

28 \\

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, the United States asks the Court to deny Ibrahim's application to modify his conditions of release to permit his travel to Saudi Arabia.

Respectfully Submitted,

DATED: January 26, 2012

MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

/s/  
PETER B. AXELROD  
Assistant United States Attorney